Updates

There was a discussion about the definition and framing of early actions the Commission might take and recommend to the Administration. Those considered most likely to be favorably received by the Administration at this stage in the Commission’s work are interim actions that support the Commission in its efforts to develop a robust plan, as opposed to one-off technical solutions or actions that might constrain the range of options the Commission could consider down the road.

University Engagement Ideas

There was a brief discussion of non-technical actions the commission might consider supporting to pave the way for the plan that will emerge from its work. There was general support for creating an onboarding module for new U-M community members to educate them on climate change and how U-M is addressing it. As a next step, this idea will likely be a topic for the Culture and Communications analysis team but some would prefer to move more quickly.

University Climate Change Coalition (UC3)

Gina Stovall from Second Nature joined the meeting by phone to brief the commission on the University Climate Change Coalition (UC3). A description of the coalition is available here: https://secondnature.org/initiative/uc3-coalition/. This presentation was followed by a commission-only discussion about the merits of joining the coalition, with members supporting further exploration of the idea.
**Commission Sub-group updates**

A variety of commission sub-groups have been formed and will be meeting in the coming weeks to begin their work. Topics include: fleet electrification, carbon accounting and methane leakage, potential interim actions, and internal analysis team faculty lead selection.

**External Infrastructure Analysis**

There was a discussion regarding the urgency of commissioning an external analysis to evaluate options for transitioning campus energy infrastructure to carbon neutral outcomes. A decision was made to proceed with developing and issuing a Request for Proposals (RFP) on this issue as soon as possible. Also discussed was the likely need to commission an additional external analysis concerning developing an institution-level approach and strategies for high-performance buildings (new and existing facilities). Some additional exploration that is required before drafting the buildings RFP will be a significant focus in the coming weeks.

**Advisory Panels Status**

- **Student panel** – Up and running and meeting regularly. Still seeking representation from Flint and Dearborn
- **University Units panel** – Units representing the breadth of U-M’s activities have been identified. The next step is to reach out to these units to identify representatives
- **External panel** – This group primarily will comprise external experts consulted at the commission or analysis team level. These advisors will not likely meet as a group but a subset could conceivably be convened at a major milestone or around a particular issue.
- **Faculty Panel** – Commission is identifying liaison(s) to help define the role, composition, and function. This will be a priority in the coming weeks.

Meeting ended at 3:32 pm